

and many others in a position to know indicates that it is quarter to twelve midnight.

The President, in the opening paragraph of his state of the Union message to this Congress on last Friday, expressed his great concern and doubts when he asked the question:

Can government based upon liberty and the God-given rights of man permanently endure when ceaselessly challenged by a dictatorship, hostile to our mode of life, and controlling an economic and military power of great and growing strength?

If there are any doubting Thomases, let me ask them this: Why have we spent, since the Korean war, in a so-called period of peace, the staggering and unbelievable sum of approximately \$200 billion for the defense of this Nation and the free world from the Communist conspirators in the Kremlin? This amount for defense alone in the last 6 years is more than three times the amount spent by this Government for all of its functions, in war and in peace, from its founding in 1776 to and through the end of World War I.

Why are we drafting American boys today for service in 59 different countries all over the world? Why are we jittery almost to the point of irrationality in the race to control outer space?

The great Armed Services Committee of this Congress and the Defense Department have built a military machine with a striking force greater than in all history. As a result, at this moment, the overall firepower and destructive force of the United States and our would-be destroyer is fairly well balanced.

Consequently, we are not going to have an all-out war in the foreseeable future. The Communists are not stupid. They are not going to risk annihilation of their cities. In other words, they are not going to sign their own death warrant by starting an all-out nuclear war. And why should they? In fact, there is almost every reason for them not to do so.

It was in 1918 that a handful of Communists took over Russia. In the short space of the 40 years that followed, the Communists have gained domination and control of approximately one-third of the peoples of the world and one-third of its land mass. Another one-third, in the so-called neutral bloc, is fast drifting toward the Communist orbit.

As you said, Mr. Speaker, on Wednesday, it has been 20 centuries since the humble Nazarene walked the shores of Galilee, and yet after all of those years only one-third of the peoples of this world comprise the Christian-Judaic bloc, today referred to as the West, which, as we know, symbolizes the settingsun.

How have the Communists come so far in the short span of 40 years? By outward aggression? No. Their success, yesterday, today, and tomorrow, has been and will be largely the result of the use of a new weapon of warfare far more effective than hydrogen bombs or guided missiles.

The Soviets have used the insidious and diabolical weapon of infiltration and

subversion, of assassination and abduction, of espionage and sabotage, plotted in Moscow and carried out all over the world. It is by the use of this new weapon that they have been able to take once free countries behind the Iron Curtain. They know that one agent or sympathizer within a free country is more effective in reaching their objective than 10,000 trained troops poised on the border.

So you can readily see why there is not going to be all-out military aggression if the Russians can help it.

What I say is not mere speculation. The House Committee on Un-American Activities has heard numerous witnesses, some of the world's outstanding experts. Let me quote from the testimony of Edward Hunter, one of the outstanding authorities of Communist psychological warfare, a former newspaperman, and officer in the O.S.S., an author, journalist, and lecturer, a man who spent 31 years of his life in countries under Communist pressure and attack. He testified:

War has changed its form. The Communists have discovered that a man killed by a bullet is useless. He can dig no coal. They have discovered that a demolished city is useless. Its mills produce no cloth.

The objective of modern warfare is to capture intact the minds of the people and their possessions so that they can be put to use. This is the modern conception of slavery, that puts all others into the kindergarten age.

Hunter continued:

The United States is the main battlefield in this war. I mean specifically the people and the soil and the resources of the United States. They seek to conquer the United States in a manner so that it falls voluntarily into the Red orbit.

If we have to be conquered by destructive nuclear-age weapons, it will be considered a setback by the Kremlin. Their objective is to make the same use of the American people as they make of the Czechs in the uranium mines of Czechoslovakia and as they make of the Chinese in the mills of China.

We are to become the subjects of a new world order for the benefit of a mad little knot of despots in the Kremlin. We are losing so fast that, unless we put a very drastic end to it, the question of who is winning will be academic in a decade.

Gen. Albert Wedemeyer who, you will recall, served as chief of our World War II planning operations, appraised the situation this way in testimony before the committee. He said:

The Soviets are obtaining their objectives without the use of military force. If I were the senior planner in the Soviet hierarchy, I would advise Khrushchev to continue to do exactly what he is doing now.

Khrushchev, in addressing a group of foreign diplomats, including the United States Ambassador, had the audacity to say:

We will beat the capitalists, but that does not mean killing anybody. . . . When we win in this competition, we will also re-educate you. We Bolsheviks are a ravenous people. What we achieved in the past is very little. We want more and more.

Way back in 1923, in outlining the steps the Soviets would take in bringing

nist apparatus, Lenin said:

First, we will take Eastern Europe; then the masses of Asia; then Africa; then we will encircle the United States which will be the last bastion of capitalism. We will not have to attack. It will fall like an overripe fruit into our hands.

Mr. Speaker, the real threat to the remaining countries of the free world, including the United States, is from within. Testimony before the House Committee on Un-American Activities reveals that in Africa today, while the Soviets are outwardly pretending to support the nationalist aspirations of those countries, each country is infiltrated in key places in government by natives who are dedicated Communists and whose loyalty is to the Soviet Union and the Communist conspiracy. When the time comes, they will flip those countries into the Soviet orbit. Remember, it takes only a comparatively few people in key positions to accomplish such a result.

The Communists and their apologists in the United States today are shouting from the rooftops, through the press, over the radio, and in the mail to the Members of this Congress that there is no longer an internal threat to the United States, although the files of the Committee on Un-American Activities and the Federal Bureau of Investigation are bulging with uncontroverted evidence to the contrary.

J. Edgar Hoover, perhaps the greatest authority on internal subversion in the United States, time and time again has vigorously disputed these contentions of the pink fringe. Listen to Hoover's latest warning:

Public apathy is the sure way to national suicide—to death of individual freedom. It allowed the Communists to penetrate and make satellites of once-free countries, and it is presently enabling them to honeycomb and weaken the structures of the remaining countries, and there is today a terrifying apathy on the part of Americans toward the deadliest danger which this country has ever faced. Some of that apathy is deliberately induced.

The Communist Party in the United States is not out of business; it is not dead; it is not even dormant. It is, however, well on its way to achieving its current objective, which is to make you believe that it is shattered, ineffective, and dying. When it has fully achieved this first objective, it will then proceed inflexibly toward its final goal. . . .

Those who try to minimize its danger are either uninformed or they have a deadly ax to grind.

Are you going to listen to those who have an ax to grind—to those whose red slips show with every gust of wind, or will you give credence to the findings of one of your own committees and of the Federal Bureau of Investigation?

Last year the committee found that the penetration had reached one of the committees of this House, not 5 years ago, not 2 years ago, but as late as last fall. Let me read excerpts from the testimony concerning Wilfred Lumer who was writing recommendations and reports for legislation which you gentlemen may consider this year.

Mr. Arens, counsel for the committee, asked this question of Mr. Lumer: